

# AASHTO Guide for Interlocking Pavements

**First  
Edition**

**August  
2024**



# Table of Contents

Foreword . . . . .	xvii
Acknowledgments . . . . .	xix
<b>1 Introduction to Segmental Concrete Pavement Systems . . . . .</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Interlocking Pavements . . . . .	1-1
1.2 Permeable Interlocking Pavements . . . . .	1-2
1.3 Comparison of Interlocking and Permeable Interlocking Pavement Characteristics . . . . .	1-3
<b>2 Materials . . . . .</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Interlocking Pavement . . . . .	2-1
2.1.1 Base and Subbase Options . . . . .	2-1
2.1.2 Bedding Material Options . . . . .	2-1
2.1.3 Jointing Sand Between Paving Units . . . . .	2-2
2.2 Permeable Interlocking Pavement . . . . .	2-2
2.2.1 Geotextiles . . . . .	2-2
2.2.2 Open-Graded Aggregates . . . . .	2-2
2.3 Interlocking and Permeable Interlocking Pavements . . . . .	2-3
2.3.1 Geotextiles . . . . .	2-3
2.3.2 Concrete Paving Units . . . . .	2-3
2.4 References . . . . .	2-5
<b>3 Structural Design . . . . .</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Interlocking Pavement Background . . . . .	3-1
3.2 Interlocking Pavement Design Method . . . . .	3-5
3.3 Design Example . . . . .	3-9
3.4 Permeable Interlocking Pavements . . . . .	3-10
3.4.1 Permeable Interlocking Pavement Design Method . . . . .	3-13
3.4.2 Design Example . . . . .	3-20
3.5 References . . . . .	3-23
<b>4 Construction . . . . .</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 Interlocking Pavement Production . . . . .	4-1
4.2 Placement . . . . .	4-4
4.2.1 Soil Subgrade Preparation and Base Construction . . . . .	4-5
4.2.2 Bedding Sand Construction . . . . .	4-5

4.2.3 Manual Paver Installation . . . . .	4-7
4.3 Bitumen–Sand Bedding Construction . . . . .	4-10
4.4 Machine-Assisted Paver Installation . . . . .	4-13
4.4.1 Equipment for Machine-Assisted Installation . . . . .	4-13
4.4.2 Pavers for Mechanical Installation . . . . .	4-15
4.4.3 Job Planning . . . . .	4-17
4.4.4 Systematic and Efficient Execution . . . . .	4-20
4.5 Quality Assurance and Quality Control . . . . .	4-21
4.5.1 Interlocking Pavement Construction Checklist . . . . .	4-24
4.6 Permeable Interlocking Pavement Construction . . . . .	4-28
4.6.1 Soil Excavation and Compaction . . . . .	4-29
4.6.2 Subbase Construction . . . . .	4-30
4.6.3 Curb and Base Construction . . . . .	4-31
4.6.4 Bedding Layer Construction . . . . .	4-33
4.6.5 Paver Installation . . . . .	4-34
4.6.6 Quality Assurance and Quality Control . . . . .	4-36
4.6.7 Permeable Interlocking Pavement Construction Checklist . . . . .	4-37
4.7 References . . . . .	4-40
<b>5 Maintenance and Preservation . . . . .</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1 ASTM E2840 Condition Index . . . . .	5-1
5.2 Interlocking Pavement Distresses and Preservation Actions . . . . .	5-2
5.2.1 Paving Unit Removal . . . . .	5-3
5.2.2 Interlocking Pavement Base Replacement . . . . .	5-6
5.2.3 Interlocking Pavement Bedding and Paver Reinstatement . . . . .	5-8
5.3 Interlocking Pavement Winter Management . . . . .	5-11
5.4 Permeable Interlocking Pavement Preservation . . . . .	5-11
5.4.1 Permeable Interlocking Pavement Surface Winter Maintenance . . . . .	5-13
5.4.2 Managing Utilities: Subbase and Base Replacement . . . . .	5-15
5.4.3 Routine/Preventive Maintenance for Pavement Surface Infiltration . . . . .	5-16
5.4.4 Permeable Interlocking Pavement Surface Infiltration Testing . . . . .	5-19
5.4.5 Restorative Maintenance of Permeable Interlocking Pavement Surface Infiltration . . . . .	5-21
5.5 References . . . . .	5-23
<b>6 Sustainability and Resilience . . . . .</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1 Sustainability . . . . .	6-1
6.2 Flood and Seismic Resilience . . . . .	6-4
6.3 References . . . . .	6-5

# List of Tables

- Table 1-1. Comparison of Interlocking and Permeable Interlocking Pavement Characteristics . . . . .1-3
- Table 3-1. Soil Categories Used in ASCE 58 . . . . .3-7
- Table 3-2. Drainage Characterization of Soil Categories in ASCE 58 . . . . .3-7
- Table 3-3. Characterization of Soil Strengths by Category and Drainage in ASCE 58 . . . . .3-7
- Table 3-4. Sample Structural Solutions Table for Interlocking Pavement Using Asphalt-Treated Base from ASCE 58 . . . . .3-8
- Table 3-5. Example of Interlocking Pavement Structural Design Options. . . . .3-10
- Table 3-6. Permeable Interlocking Pavement Structural Design Tables. . . . .3-17
- Table 5-1. Interlocking Pavement Distresses and Preservation Actions. . . . .5-3
- Table 5-2. Permeable Interlocking Pavement Distresses, Inspection Frequency, and Preservation Actions . . . . .5-12
- Table 5-3. Guideline for ASTM C1781 Surface Infiltration Test Results for Permeable Interlocking Pavement Cleaning . . . . .5-20

# List of Figures

Figure F-i. Ancient Interlocking Pavement: The Appian Way near Rome ca. 312 BC . . . . .	xvii
Figure 1-1. The Family of Segmental Concrete Paving Systems (L to R): Interlocking, Permeable Interlocking, Paving Slabs, Planks, and Grids . . . . .	1-1
Figure 2-1. Climate Zones for Freeze–Thaw Testing per ASTM C936 . . . . .	2-4
Figure 3-1. One of Several Interlocking Pavement Streets in Downtown North Bay, ON, Built in 1983 . . . . .	3-1
Figure 3-2. Main Street in Ripon, CA. . . . .	3-1
Figure 3-3. Typical Interlocking Pavement Components . . . . .	3-2
Figure 3-4. Paving Slab and Paver, Compared . . . . .	3-2
Figure 3-5. Port of Oakland, CA with 5 Million ft <sup>2</sup> of Interlocking Pavement. . . . .	3-4
Figure 3-6. 45-Degree and 90-Degree Herringbone Paving Patterns for Roads . . . . .	3-5
Figure 3-7. Pavement Crown in an Interlocking Street in Dayton, OH . . . . .	3-5
Figure 3-8. Flow Chart for Interlocking Pavement Structural Design from CMHA PAV-TEC-04-23 Structural Design of Interlocking Concrete Pavements for Roads and Parking Lots . . . . .	3-6
Figure 3-9. Permeable Interlocking Pavement Streets in Atlanta, GA, Constructed in 2015. . . . .	3-11
Figure 3-10. Full-, Partial-, and No-Infiltration Permeable Interlocking Pavement for Vehicular Traffic . . . . .	3-12 and 3-13
Figure 3-11. Structural and Hydrologic Design Flow for Permeable Interlocking Pavements . . . . .	3-14
Figure 3-12. Double-Ring Infiltrometer Test per ASTM D3385 . . . . .	3-16
Figure 3-13. Geocells Preparing to be Filled with No. 57 Base Aggregate . . . . .	3-18
Figure 3-14. Formula Symbols Used in the Full-Infiltration Design Formula . . . . .	3-22
Figure 4-1. A Typical Paver Manufacturing Factory . . . . .	4-1
Figure 4-2. Having Just Been Molded, Paving Units Exit the Production Machine and Head to Kilns for Curing. . . . .	4-2
Figure 4-3. Yellow “Finger Car” Stacked with Paver-Filled Pallets Rolls into a Blue “Lowerator” that Delivers Cured Pavers to a Conveyor that Heads to Packaging . . . . .	4-3
Figure 4-4. Neatly Stacked Layers are Strapped to Wooden Shipping Pallets. . . . .	4-4
Figure 4-5. Inventory Storage at a Paver Plant with Plastic-Wrapped Bundles or Cubes of Pavers . . . . .	4-4
Figure 4-6. Screeding Bedding Sand on Pipes . . . . .	4-6
Figure 4-7. Screeding Bedding Sand Manually . . . . .	4-6
Figure 4-8. Screeding with Powered Equipment . . . . .	4-6
Figure 4-9. Screeding Bedding Sand with a Modified Asphalt Spreader . . . . .	4-7

Figure 4-10. Saw with Diamond-Tipped Blade and Dust Collection . . . . .	4-8
Figure 4-11. Compaction of Installed Pavers into the Bedding Sand with a Plate Compactor . . . . .	4-9
Figure 4-12. Compacting Sand into the Paver Joints . . . . .	4-9
Figure 4-13. Screeding the Hot Bitumen–Sand Mix . . . . .	4-11
Figure 4-14. Applying Adhesive with a Trowel . . . . .	4-12
Figure 4-15. Dried Adhesive Receiving Concrete Pavers . . . . .	4-12
Figure 4-16. Spreading Joint Sand . . . . .	4-12
Figure 4-17. Compacting the Pavers. . . . .	4-12
Figure 4-18. Bitumen-Set Interlocking Pavement in East Aurora, NY . . . . .	4-13
Figure 4-19. Pavers Manufactured in their Final Laying Pattern for Machine Installation . . . . .	4-14
Figure 4-20. Example of Motorized Installation Equipment . . . . .	4-14
Figure 4-21. Another Example of Motorized Installation Equipment . . . . .	4-14
Figure 4-22. Offsets for Machine-Installed Layers Started with Manually-Installed Areas. . . . .	4-15
Figure 4-23. Herringbone Pattern with Half Stones or Pavers Exchanged for Full-Sized Units. . . . .	4-16
Figure 4-24. Herringbone Pattern with a Reduced Number of Half Pavers . . . . .	4-16
Figure 4-25. Vertical Spacer Bars or Nibs on the Sides of a Paver. . . . .	4-17
Figure 4-26. Square Concrete Collar Enables a Clean Fit to Pavers . . . . .	4-18
Figure 4-27. String Course Can Create a More Stable Junction with the Concrete Collar . . . . .	4-18
Figure 4-28. Two Paver String Courses Against the Curb Provide Space for Mechanical-Installation Equipment Clamp to Place Pavers against Them. . . . .	4-18
Figure 4-29. Pavers Stored on a Street under Construction in New Hampshire. . . . .	4-19
Figure 4-30. Placement of Bundles or Cubes Allow Space for Placing the Layers ahead of Them but Close Enough to Minimize Machine Travel Time to the Laying Face . . . . .	4-20
Figure 4-31. For Large, Mechanically-Installed Projects, the Paver Manufacturer and Contractor Can Manage Paver Dimensions with a Jig . . . . .	4-22
Figure 4-32. Observation Well with Exposed Access. . . . .	4-30
Figure 4-33. Observation Well with Hidden Access. . . . .	4-30
Figure 4-34. Observation Well with Access outside the Pavement . . . . .	4-30
Figure 4-35. 10-ton Vibratory Roller Compactor on an AASHTO No. 2 Subbase . . . . .	4-30
Figure 4-36. Transition from an Asphalt Pavement to Permeable Interlocking Pavement. . . . .	4-31
Figure 4-37. Transition from a Concrete Pavement to Permeable Interlocking Pavement . . . . .	4-32

Figure 4-38. A 10-ton Vibratory Roller Compacting the No. 57 Base . . . . .	4-32
Figure 4-39. 13,500-kip Plate Compactor with Compaction Indicator Lights on No. 57 Stone . . . . .	4-33
Figure 4-40. Screed Bucket Smooths the Bedding Layer with Assistance from a Skid Steer Loader. . . . .	4-33
Figure 4-41. Modified Asphalt Spreader Rapidly Screeds the Bedding Layer. . . . .	4-33
Figure 4-42. Geogrid in the Bedding Layer is Fastened to Plastic Edge Restraint . . . . .	4-34
Figure 4-43. Geogrid in the Bedding Layer is Fastened to Metal Edge Restraint . . . . .	4-34
Figure 4-44. Permeable Interlocking Pavement Street in Meridian, ID . . . . .	4-34
Figure 4-45. Permeable Interlocking Pavement Street in Portland, OR . . . . .	4-34
Figure 4-46. Broom Sweeping Jointing Material on a Small Area. . . . .	4-35
Figure 4-47. Powered Sweeper for Efficient Joint Filling on a Large Area . . . . .	4-35
Figure 4-48. Large Plate Compactor Presses Paving Units into the Bedding Layer . . . . .	4-35
Figure 4-49. Lightweight Deflectometer Testing Compacted, Open-Graded Subbase Aggregate. . . . .	4-37
Figure 4-50. Lightweight Deflectometer Testing Open-Graded Base Aggregate . . . . .	4-37
Figure 5-1. Performance Curve for Interlocking Pavements . . . . .	5-2
Figure 5-2. Pavement Marked for Paver Removal and Excavation . . . . .	5-4
Figure 5-3. Removing the First Paver. . . . .	5-4
Figure 5-4. Paver Extractor Used to Remove the First and Subsequent Pavers. . . . .	5-5
Figure 5-5. Once the First Paver is Removed, Others Can Be Removed by Tilting and Pulling Out . . . . .	5-5
Figure 5-6. T-Shaped Trench with Temporary Edge Restraints . . . . .	5-6
Figure 5-7. Temporary Bracing Helps Hold Undisturbed Pavers in Place . . . . .	5-7
Figure 5-8. Low-Density Concrete Fill/Aggregate Base Under Bedding Sand and Reinstated Pavers . . . . .	5-8
Figure 5-9. Undisturbed Bedding Layer just outside the Screed Rails Helps Establish the Thickness of Material between Them When Screeded. . . . .	5-9
Figure 5-10. Stringlines Pulled to Align Joints of Undisturbed Pavers with Those to Be Reinstated . . . . .	5-9
Figure 5-11. Adjusting Joint or Bond Lines with a Pry Bar Prior to Compaction . . . . .	5-10
Figure 5-12. The Final Blow: The Last Paver Installed Prior to Applying Jointing Material and Compacting . . . . .	5-10
Figure 5-13. Snowplow Blade with Rubber Edge Clearing Snow from Permeable Interlocking Pavement . . . . .	5-14
Figure 5-14. Dirty Snow Left on the Permeable Surface from Plowing Will Decrease Infiltration Unless Removed in the Spring . . . . .	5-14
Figure 5-15. Start of Leaves Accumulating in the Paver Joints . . . . .	5-16

Figure 5-16. Improver Landscaping Maintenance Practices .....5-17

Figure 5-17. Proper Landscaping Maintenance Practices. ....5-17

Figure 5-18. Ponding Typical to Permeable Pavements Starts with Sediment Deposited adjacent to  
Impervious Surfaces and Vehicles .....5-17

Figure 5-19. Portable Permeable Pavement Vacuum Cleaning Equipment Example. ....5-18

Figure 5-20. Another Portable Permeable Pavement Vacuum Cleaning Equipment Example. ....5-18

Figure 5-21. Street Sweeper With a Broom Operating under the Chassis Center and Another on the Rear of  
the Truck .....5-18

Figure 5-22. Regenerative Air Sweeper .....5-19

Figure 5-23. Set-Up and Testing Permeable Interlocking Pavement Surface Infiltration  
per ASTM C1781. ....5-19

Figure 5-24. True Vacuum Truck with the Attachment that Removes Debris .....5-21

Figure 5-25. True Vacuum Truck Applying Water to Loosen Debris for Removal by the Attachment ..5-21

Figure 5-26. Restorative Surface Infiltration Cleaning. ....5-22

Figure 6-1. Traffic Calming with Interlocking Pavement in Cary, NC. ....6-2

Figure 6-2. Interlocking and Permeable Interlocking Pavement Cradle-to-Grave  
Life Cycle Assessment. ....6-3

Figure 6-3. Sustainable Pavement Rehabilitation in The Netherlands .....6-4

# Foreword

The concept of unit pavement has existed since the start of recorded history and remains in every culture. Around 5,000 BC, the Minoans wrote about their stone streets. Later, inexpensive slave labor enabled the Romans to build an interstate highway system equivalent in length to that of the United States. This pavement network across Europe secured their conquered territories and promoted trade.

The principles of pavement construction developed by the Romans remain. The Appian Way, shown in **Figure F-i**, is an early example of compacted aggregate layers with increasingly smaller particles rising to support a surface of tightly fitted stones. The joints between the stones had to be sufficiently narrow to not accept the head of a Roman spear. If they did, legend says that the crew supervisor was speared by the Roman job superintendent. Adherence to such exacting standards might help explain why Roman roads have lasted for over two millennia.



**Figure F-i.** Ancient Interlocking Pavement: The Appian Way near Rome ca. 312 BC (Source: Interlocking Concrete Pavement Institute)

Fast forward to the 20th century where the invention of the automobile created the need for smooth asphalt and concrete pavements to accommodate fast moving vehicles. Smoother roads replaced many clay brick streets in urban America and resulted in segmental paving fading into history. The clay and stone streets that remained in some historic districts reminded users of the durability and character these pavements add to such neighborhoods.

However, a more modern and smoother expression of segmental paving, interlocking concrete pavement, emerged in the fourth quarter of the 20th century, first in Europe, then in North America, then around the world. Concrete unit materials and pavements made from them were researched and evaluated. Additional research came about from the 1990s on the permeable version for reducing stormwater runoff called permeable interlocking concrete pavements (PICP). Much research on both pavement systems is found in the proceedings of a series of international conferences on concrete block pavements. The papers from these conferences are available on [www.sept.org](http://www.sept.org).

This Guide represents a synthesis of that research and decades of experience on materials, design, construction, and maintenance. It is provided as a resource to state departments of transportation (DOTs) and to municipal public works departments. The timing and contents of this publication can help address needed environmental sustainability and flood resilience of urban pavements (see [Chapter 6](#)).

The Interlocking Concrete Pavement Institute (ICPI) greatly appreciates the AASHTO Committee on Materials and Pavements for the opportunity to present this book as a tool in the toolbox for use by transportation agencies and the wider civil engineering community.

David R. Smith

Ashburn, VA, USA

# Chapter 1

## Introduction to Segmental Concrete Pavement Systems

The types of segmental concrete pavement systems include interlocking, permeable interlocking, paving slabs, planks, and grid units. These are illustrated in **Figure 1-1**. This Guide provides technical information and references on the first two pavement systems as they are specifically intended for streets, making them of interest to state departments of transportation (DOTs) and municipal public works officials. Moreover, millions of square feet of permeable interlocking pavements have been placed in the United States and Canada.



**Figure 1-1.** The Family of Segmental Concrete Paving systems (L to R): Interlocking, Permeable Interlocking, Paving Slabs, Planks, and Grids (Source: Concrete Masonry and Hardscapes Association)

### 1.1 Interlocking Pavements

Interlocking pavements consists of solid, individual, high-strength concrete paving units capable of being grasped and installed with one hand. When installed, the interlock from sand between the units has them resting on a thin layer of sand. Vehicular applications are designed with aggregate bases and subbases, cement- and asphalt-treated bases, asphalt, or concrete bases. Pavements with these bases are considered flexible pavements, except for those with concrete bases, which are considered rigid pavements. Interlocking pavements are also used in pedestrian areas because of their visual appeal and the design flexibility made possible by the various shapes, colors, and patterns available.

Originally developed in Germany and The Netherlands for roads in the 1950s and 60s, manufacturing and installation technology transferred from these countries in the mid-1970s which initiated interlocking pavement manufacturing and installation in Canada and the United States. The advent of mechanized installation in the 1980s

increased parking lot, street, port, and airport applications. Since then, advances in materials, manufacturing technologies, product and design standards, mechanized construction methods, and pavement management have been supported by research and experience in the United States and Canada, as well as from overseas.

Interlocking pavement is used in urban streets, including major arterials. The pavement is sometimes designed to increase pedestrian and driver safety in traffic calming measures, such as at-grade or raised crosswalks, intersections, speed humps, speed tables, bump outs, and chicanes. Unlike monolithic pavements, interlocking concrete pavement (ICP) facilitates pavement cuts for utility repairs by the reinstatement of the same removed units without surface damage. Additional information on materials, design, construction, and maintenance of interlocking pavement is available from the American Society for Civil Engineers (ASCE) and the Concrete Masonry and Hardscapes Association (CMHA).

## 1.2 Permeable Interlocking Pavements

Originally developed in Germany in the early 1990s and transferred to the United States in the mid-1990s, permeable interlocking concrete pavements are used in pedestrian areas, parking lots, alleys, road shoulders, and low-volume streets. Such pavements consist of solid, individual concrete paving units, like those used in interlocking pavements. When installed, the pavement consists of slightly larger joints between the units than interlocking pavements. The paving units rest on a thin bedding layer of permeable aggregates, and the joints are filled with small permeable aggregates. Designed as a flexible pavement, the base and subbase reservoir consist of open-graded aggregates which enable water storage and infiltration into the subgrade or exiting via underdrains.

The open-graded aggregate base and subbase reservoir simultaneously manage stormwater and reduce pollutants while functioning as a pavement that supports traffic. This combination can reduce or eliminate the need for stormwater detention facilities and drainage appurtenances, thereby making permeable interlocking concrete pavement (PICP) cost-effective in many applications. DOTs and municipalities have used permeable interlocking pavements to comply with National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits and total maximum daily load (TMDL) requirements. Applications have used the water storage capacity of the subbase reservoir to decrease the impacts of flooding. The absence of internal hydrostatic pressures that typically break apart inundated impervious pavements provide increased flood resilience. Additional information on permeable interlocking pavement is available from ASCE and the Concrete Masonry and Hardscapes Association (CMHA).

### 1.3 Comparison of Interlocking and Permeable Interlocking Pavement Characteristics

Table 1-1 summarizes the similarities and differences between these two pavement systems.

Table 1-1. Comparison of Interlocking and Permeable Interlocking Pavement Characteristics

Characteristic	Interlocking Pavement	Permeable Interlocking Pavement
<b>Primary Function</b>	Urban Pavement	Low-volume pavement & stormwater management
<b>Posted Speed Limit</b>	45 mph	35 mph
<b>Lifetime Design ESALs*</b>	10 million	1 million
<b>Typical Paving Patterns for Roads</b>	45° or 90° herringbone	45° or 90° herringbone
<b>Surface Slope</b>	Typically 1.5% to 20%	Typically ≤ 5%; can be higher 3% or higher may require check dams
<b>Surface Water Infiltration</b>	Minimal	100% infiltration
<b>Surface Area Open to Base</b>	Close to 0%	Typically 5% to 15%
<b>Typical Joint Widths</b>	1/8 to 3/16 in.	1/4 to 1/2 in.
<b>Joint Fill Materials</b>	AASHTO M 45 sand	AASHTO M 43 No. 8, 89, or 9 stone
<b>Bedding Layer Under Pavers</b>	1-in.-thick, washed ASTM C33 concrete sand on flexible & concrete bases or 1-in.-thick typical asphalt surface course on a concrete base	2-in.-thick AASHTO No. 8 stone
<b>Base Materials</b>	Dense-graded aggregates, cement-treated, asphalt-treated, asphalt, or concrete—thickness varies with structural design	4-in.-thick AASHTO No. 57 stone for vehicular traffic over a subbase 6 in. min. thickness for pedestrian uses; subbase not used
<b>Subbase Materials</b>	Dense-graded aggregates—thickness varies with structural design	AASHTO No. 2, 3, or 4 stone—thickness varies with structural & hydrologic designs
<b>Underdrains</b>	On low-infiltration soils	On low-infiltration soils, typically with a raised outlet for detention

\*Note: 18,000 lb equivalent single axle loads